

# CSE 4125: Distributed Database Systems Chapter – 4 (Part – A)

## Distributed Database Design

# Framework for DDB Design

- Designing *conceptual schema* (description of all the data which are used by the database applications).
- Designing *physical database* (mapping conceptual schema to storage area and defining access methods).

# Framework for DDB Design

The distribution of the database adds two following problems to the previous problems of the design of the data distribution –

- Designing fragmentation (how global relations are subdivided into fragments).
- Designing allocation of fragments (how fragments are mapped to physical images).

# Objectives of the Design of Data Distribution

## ❑ **Processing locality.**

- Maximize processing locality, Placing data as close as possible to the application using them.

## ❑ **Availability and reliability.**

- Multiple copies of data.
- Recovery.

## ❑ **Workload distribution.**

- Taking advantage of the powers and computer resources at each site.
- Maximize parallel execution of application.

## ❑ **Storage costs and availability.**

- CPU, I/O and transmission costs.
- Considering the storage limitation.

# Approaches to Design the Data Distribution

## ❑ **Top-Down approach.**

- Most attractive for systems which are developed from scratch.

Design global schema → Design Fragmentation schema →  
Allocate fragments to sites → Perform physical design of the data

**Problem:** When the distributed database is developed as the aggregation of existing databases, is not easy to follow top down approach.

# Approaches to Design the Data Distribution

## □ **Bottom-Up approach.**

- Have existing databases at different sites.
- How to integrate them
- How to deal with heterogeneity and autonomy (i.e. independence).

Selection of a common database model → Translation of local schema → Integration of local schema into global schema

# The Design of Fragmentation

1. Design of Horizontal Fragmentation
  - I. Primary
  - II. Derived
2. Design of Vertical Fragmentation
3. Design of Mixed Fragmentation



# **The Design of Primary Horizontal Fragmentation**

# Simple Predicate

Given a global relation  $R (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  where attribute  $A_i$  has domain  $D_i$ ,

A simple predicate  $p_j$  *defined on  $R$  has the form*  
$$p_j : A_i \theta \text{ Value}$$

Where  $\theta \in \{=, <, \neq, \leq, >, \geq\}$  and  $\text{Value} \in D_i$

**Example:** Given global relation ***J***.

J	JNO	JNAME	BUDGET	LOC
	J1	Instrumental	150,000	Montreal
	J2	Database Dev.	135,000	New York
	J3	CAD/CAM	250,000	New York
	J4	Maintenance	350,000	Orlando

Simple predicates:  $p_j : A_i \theta \text{ Value}$

$p_1: JNAME = \text{"Maintenance"}$

$p_2: BUDGET \leq 200,000$

# Minterm Predicate

Given a set of simple predicates for relation  $R$ :

$$P = \{ p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \},$$

The set of minterm predicates:  $M = \{ m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \}$  is defined as,

$$M = \{ m_i \mid m_i = \bigwedge_{p_j \in P} p_j^* \}$$

where  $p_j^* = p_j$  or  $p_j^* = \neg(p_j)$ .

Provided that,  $m_i \neq \text{false}$ .

## Example:

TITLE	SAL
Elect. Eng.	40,000
Syst. Analy.	54,000
Mech. Eng.	32,000
Programmer	42,000

Possible simple predicates:

$P_1$ : TITLE="Elect. Eng."

$P_2$ : TITLE="Syst. Analy."

$P_3$ : TITLE="Mech. Eng."

$P_4$ : TITLE="Programmer"

$P_5$ : SAL  $\leq$  35,000

$P_6$ : SAL  $>$  35,000

Some corresponding  
minterm predicates:

$m_1$ : TITLE = "Elect.Eng."  $\wedge$  SAL  $\leq$  35,000

$m_2$ : TITLE  $\neq$  "Elect.Eng."  $\wedge$  SAL  $>$  35,000

# Exercise

b) Consider the following relation  $R$ :

<i>Title</i>	<i>Experience (months)</i>	<i>Salary (thousands)</i>
Electrical Engg.	12	50
System Analyst	10	60
Mechanical Engg.	5	30
Programmer	7	80
Sales Manager	9	42

Given, the following minterm predicates for  $R$ :

$$m_1 : Title = 'Programmer' \wedge Experience \leq 12 \wedge Salary \geq 35$$

$$m_2 : Title \neq 'Programmer' \wedge Experience > 12 \wedge Salary \geq 35$$

$$m_3 : Title \neq 'Programmer' \wedge Experience > 12 \wedge Salary \geq 35$$

Write the set  $P$  of all possible simple predicates for  $m_1, m_2$  and  $m_3$ .